Representation of schools in the survey – broken down according to regions and founders

	Total TPSs		Publicly funded		Private		Church	
	Number	%	Num- ber*/	%	Number	%	Number	%
Prague	26	23.6	10	13.5	11	40.7	5	55.6
Central Bohemian	13	11.8	10	13.5	1	3.7	2	22.2
South Bohemian	10	9.1	7	9.5	3	11.1	-	-
Pilsen	3	2.7	3	4.1	-	-	-	-
Karlovy Vary	1	0.9	1	1.4	-	-	-	-
Usti	4	3.6	4	5.4	-	-	-	-
Liberec	5	4.5	5	6.8	-	-	-	-
Hradec Kralove	6	5.5	5	6.8	1	3.7	-	-
Pardubice	3	2.7	3	4.1	-	-	-	-
Vysocina	8	7.3	6	8.1	2	7.4	-	-
South Moravian	9	8.2	6	8.1	2	7.4	1	11.1
Olomouc	5	4.5	3	4.1	1	3.7	1	11.1
Zlin	9	8.2	6	8.1	3	11.1	-	-
Moravian-Silesian	8	7.3	5	6.8	3	11.1	-	-
Total	110	100.0	74	100.0	27	100.0	9	100.0

*/ Two state schools were included in the group of publicly funded schools established by regions.

Such a sample is sufficiently representative with respect to the total number of TPSs as well as with regard to individual regions (see the data in table 69). Representation of professionalism was not looked into.

Representation of schools in the survey – the share of the total number of schools Table 69 in the Register of Schools

Region	Register	Survey	i.e. %	Region	Register	Survey	i.e. %
Prague	39	26	66.7	Hradec Kralove	11	6	54.5
Central Bohemian	19	13	68.4	Pardubice	9	3	33.3
South Bohemian	17	10	58.8	Vysocina	14	8	57.1
Pilsen	5	3	60.0	South Moravian	14	9	64.3
Karlovy Vary	4	1	25.0	Olomouc	8	5	62.5
Usti	9	4	44.4	Zlin	12	9	75.0
Liberec	8	5	62.5	Moravian—Silesian	13	8	61.5
Total	182	110	60.4				