burden and financial costs of schools but also uncertainty for school management and brings about problems with the adequate preparation of resources and capacity for the upcoming school year in advance.

Number of filed applications and students admitted to secondary education

| Monitored parameter Czech Rep. (according to the IIE) | Situation <br> in 2008/2009 | Situation <br> in 2009/2010 | Year-on-year <br> change in \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proportion of pupils in all SSS who filed 3 applications (\%) | 46.5 | 55.0 | +8.5 |
| Proportion of pupils in all SGSs who filed 3 applications (\%) | 44.6 | 62.9 | +18.3 |
| Proportion of pupils admitted to several SSS (\%) | 58.4 | 64.0 | +5.6 |
| Proportion of pupils in SGSS admitted to several SSS (\%) | 57.1 | 73.6 | +16.5 |

## Evaluation of Students' Educational Achievement in $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Grades of Secondary Education

The CSI evaluated the achievement of students attending $1^{\text {st }}$ grades of secondary schools. Doing this the CSI indirectly evaluated the output achievement of pupils who completed basic education and their preparedness for secondary education. The results of students who started to attend SSs worsened, but in particular the results of those attending SGSs were considerably worse than in BSs. In general, most students displayed risks that their results would be worse mainly in mathematics. In SGSs students showed worse achievement mainly in the Czech language. In both groups students had relatively the best results in the English language.

Comparisons of results on the basis of answers of pupils demonstrated that final (output) evaluations from basic schools could be influenced by the absence of educational standards and might depend only on the subjective evaluations of teachers.

Table 28
Comparisons of results from BSs and SSs according to the data provided by the students interviewed

| Classification of students interviewed at the end of $9^{\text {th }}$ grades of BSs (frequency of individual marks in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evaluation | Czech language |  |  | Mathematics |  |  | Foreign language - English |  |  |
|  | SS | SGS | SVS | SS | SGS | SVS | SS | SGS | SVS |
| 1 | 15.2 | 43.6 | 8.3 | 19.5 | 48.5 | 12.5 | 32.1 | 70.1 | 23.0 |
| 2 | 36.8 | 45.1 | 34.9 | 30.5 | 36.8 | 29.0 | 31.7 | 25.0 | 33.3 |
| 3 | 34.1 | 9.8 | 39.9 | 32.8 | 12.7 | 37.6 | 26.0 | 3.4 | 31.4 |
| 4 | 13.6 | 1.5 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 2.0 | 20.3 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 11.9 |
| 5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Average | 2.47 | 1.69 | 2.66 | 2.48 | 1.68 | 2.67 | 2.15 | 1.36 | 2.33 |

Classification of students interviewed during the $1^{\text {st }}$ term in secondary school (frequency of individual marks in percentage)

| Evaluation | Czech language |  |  | Mathematics |  |  | Foreign language - English |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SS | SGS | SVS | SS | SGS | SVS | SS | SGS | SVS |
| 1 | 6.1 | 10.7 | 5.1 | 7.8 | 15.5 | 6.0 | 20.1 | 40.8 | 15.1 |
| 2 | 32.7 | 38.3 | 31.4 | 23.9 | 29.6 | 22.5 | 32.6 | 38.3 | 31.2 |
| 3 | 38.0 | 34.5 | 38.9 | 37.3 | 43.7 | 35.8 | 30.0 | 18.4 | 32.8 |
| 4 | 19.6 | 14.1 | 20.9 | 26.4 | 10.2 | 30.2 | 14.8 | 2.4 | 17.8 |
| 5 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Average | 2.82 | 2.59 | 2.87 | 2.96 | 2.51 | 3.07 | 2.47 | 1.83 | 2.63 |
| Difference between BSs and SSs | -0.35 | -0.90 | -0.21 | -0.48 | -0.83 | -0.39 | -0.32 | -0.46 | -0.29 |

