

- Schiefele, U., Schaffner, E., Möller, J., & Wigfield, A. (2012). Dimensions of reading motivation and their relation to reading behavior and competence. *Reading Research Quarterly, 47*, 427–463.
- Schroeder, S. (2011). What readers have and do: Effects of students' verbal ability and reading time components on comprehension with and without text availability. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 103*, 877–896.
- Schwabe, F., McElvany, N., & Trendtel, M. (2015). The school age gender gap in reading achievement: Examining the influences of item format and intrinsic reading motivation. *Reading Research Quarterly, 50*(1), 1–14. doi:10.1002/rrq.92
- Smith, M. C., Mikulecky, L., Kibby, M. W., Dreher, M. J., & Dole, J. A. (2000). What will be the demands of literacy in the workplace in the next millennium? *Reading Research Quarterly, 35*(3), 378–383.
- Snow, & the Rand Corporation. (2002). *Reading for understanding: Toward an R&D program in reading comprehension*. Rand Corporation Report.
- Spiro, J. J., Deschryver, M., Hagerman, M. S., Morsink, P., & Thompson, P. (Eds.). (2015). *Reading at a crossroads? Disjunctures and continuities in current conceptions and practices*. New York: Routledge.
- Stadtler, M., & Bromme, R. (2013). Multiple document comprehension: An approach to public understanding of science. *Cognition and Instruction, 31*, 122–129.
- Stadtler, M., & Bromme, R. (2014). The content–source integration model: A taxonomic description of how readers comprehend conflicting scientific information. In D. N. Rapp & J. Braasch (Eds.), *Processing inaccurate information: Theoretical and applied perspectives from cognitive science and the educational sciences* (s. 379–402). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Strømsø, H. I., Bråten, I., Britt, M. A., & Ferguson, L. E. (2013). Spontaneous sourcing among students reading multiple documents. *Cognition and Instruction, 31*, 176–203.
- UNESCO. (2014). *Reading in the mobile era: A study of mobile reading in developing countries*. Paris: UNESCO.
- van den Broek, P., Ridsen, K., & Husbye-Hartmann, E., (1995). The role of readers' standards of coherence in the generation of inferences during reading. In R. F. Lorch, Jr., & E. J. O'Brien (Eds.), *Sources of coherence in text comprehension* (s. 353–373). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- van den Broek, P., Virtue, S., Everson, M., Tzeng, Y., & Sung, Y. (2002). Comprehension and memory of science texts: Inferential processes and the construction of a mental representation. In J. Otero, J. Leon, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *The psychology of science text comprehension* (s. 131–154). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Vidal-Abarca, E., Mañá, A., & Gil, L. (2010). Individual differences for self-regulating task-oriented reading activities. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 102*(4), 817–826. doi: 10.1037/a0020062
- Wagner, R. K., Torgesen, J., Rashotte, C. A., & Pearson, N. (2010). *Test of Sentence Reading Efficiency and Comprehension*. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.
- Waters, H. S., & Schneider, W. (Eds.). (2010). *Metacognition, strategy use, and instruction*. New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.