- Cantrell, S. C., Almasi, J. F., Carter, J. C., Rintamaa, M., & Madden, A. (2010). The impact of a strategy-based intervention on the comprehension and strategy use of struggling adolescent readers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *102*(2), 257–280.
- Chard, D. J., Pikulski, J. J., & McDonagh, S. H. (2006). Fluency: The link between decoding and comprehension for struggling readers. In T. Rasinski, C. Blanchowicz, & K. Lems (Eds.), *Fluency instruction: Research-based best practices* (s. 39–61). New York: Guilford Press.
- Clark, C. (2014). Children's and young people's reading in 2013. Findings from the 2013 National Literacy Trust's annual survey. London: National Literacy Trust.
- Coiro, J., Knobel, M., Lankshear, C., & Leu, D. (2008). Central issues in new literacies and new literacies research. In J. Coiro, M. Knobel, C. Lankshear, & D. Leu (Eds.) *The handbook of research on new literacies*. Erlbaum: Mahwah, NJ.
- Conklin, J. (1987). Hypertext: An introduction and survey. Computer, 20, 17-41.
- Council of Europe. (1996). Modern languages: Learning, teaching, assessment. A common European framework of reference. Strasbourg: CC LANG (95) 5 Rev. IV.
- Cunningham, A. E., & Stanovich, K. E. (1998). Early reading acquisition and its relation to reading experience and ability 10 years later. *Developmental Psychology*, *33*, 934–945.
- Dillon, A. (1994). Designing usable electronic text: Ergonomic aspects of human information usage. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Dreher, M. J., & Guthrie, J. T. (1990). Cognitive processes in textbook chapter search tasks. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 25, 323–339.
- Duggan, G. B., & Payne, S. J. (2009). Text skimming: the process and effectiveness of foraging through text under time pressure. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 15(3). 228–242.
- Eason, S., Sabatini, J., Goldberg, L. F., Bruce, K., & Cutting, L. E. (2012). Examining the relationship between word reading efficiency and oral reading rate in predicting comprehension among different types of readers. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 17, 199– 223.
- Foltz, P. W. (1996). Comprehension, coherence, and strategies in hypertext and linear text. In J. Levonen, J.-F. Rouet, A. Dillon, & R. Spiro (Eds.), *Hypertext and cognition* (s. 109– 136). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Gartner. (2015). *Forecast: PCs, ultramobiles and mobile phones, worldwide, 2011–2018, 4Q14 update.* Dostupné z http://www.gartner.com/document/2945917
- Gerjets, P., Kammerer, Y., & Werner, B. (2011). Measuring spontaneous and instructed evaluation processes during web search: Integrating concurrent thinking-aloud protocols and eyetracking data. *Learning and Instruction*, 21, 220–231. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2010.02.005
- Goldman, S. R. (2004). Cognitive aspects of constructing meaning through and across multiple texts. In N. Shuart-Faris & D. Bloome, (Eds.), *Uses of intertextuality in classroom and educational research*, (s. 317–351). Greenwich, CT: Information Age.
- Gray, W. S., & Rogers, B. (1956). Maturity in reading. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Grisay, A., & Monseur, C. (2007). Measuring the equivalence of item difficulty in the various versions of an international test. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *33*, 69–86.